.UP 10 2; Draft, local; -n -PA4 -dFX-NORMAL -y -e; dumbp
L.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T....R
.HM3
.FM3
.SP2
.............L.T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T.......T..T.J

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.5862 OF 2006

Yashoda P. Chavan .. Petitioner
V/s
Shalan Bhimrao Madane .. Respondent

Shri Dilip Bodake for the Petitioner
Shri Uday Walunjikar for Respondent

CORAM:S.R.SATHE,J.
DATED:23rd March 2007

P.C.:-

1. Heard both the learned Advocates.

2. Rule. Returnable forthwith.

3. Both of them submitted that respective parties are in actual possession of the portion of land shown in the attached map marked as X, Y and Z and that both the parties will maintain status quo in respect of cultivation and possession of the said portions accordingly till the disposal of the suit.

4. So, Rule is made absolute in the above terms by consent.

5. The trial Court is directed to dispose of the suit according to law within six months from today.

6. Parties to act on the authenticated copy of this order.

(S.R.SATHE,J.)

.PA

.PA

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

Shri V.V.Savji i/b Praful Shah for Appellant
Shri Vishwanath Tarkude for Respondent

CORAM:S.R.SATHE,J.
DATED: March 2007

P.C.:-

1. Today this matter was in fact placed for judgment. However, after perusing the papers I found it necessary to seek clarification on certain legal points.

2. When this matter was previously argued the learned Advocate for the Respondent Mr.Tarkunde appeared for the Respondent. Both the learned Advocates were called upon to make their submissions regarding the application of Section 14(1) of Hindu Succession Act. Both of them submit that they will search citation on this point and make submission on 29-3-2007 at 3-00 p.m. in the Court.

(S.R.SATHE,J.)